

hitecTM

RADIO CONTROL USA, Inc.

RECEIVED

F.C.C.
1919 M ST., NW.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

MAR 16 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

DEAR SIR'S

MARCH 2, 1993

RECEIVED

MAR 16 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

AFTER RECEIVING A COPY OF YOUR REPLY TO CONGRESSMEN DUNCAN HUNTER, I FELT IT NECESSARY TO SEND A REPLY TO CONGRESSMEN HUNTER. I ALSO FEEL THAT YOU ARE ENTITLED TO A COPY OF THIS LETTER. PLEASE FEEL FREE TO COMMENT ON THIS TO ME ANY TIME.

#1)

ON PAGE 3, SECTION 1, PLEASE NOTE THAT WE ARE NOT THE ONLY GROUP OF USERS THAT ARE APPREHENSIVE AND CONCERNED ABOUT THIS DOCKET. I FEEL THAT YOU MAY WANT TO REQUEST OPINIONS FROM THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMUNICATIONS COUNCIL, THE LAND MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS COUNCIL, AND POWER SPECTRUM INC. AS TO THE EFFECT THIS WILL HAVE ON THEIR OPERATIONAL COSTS.

#2) PLEASE NOTE THAT ON PAGE 2, SECTION 9 OF FCC DOCUMENT # 92-469 THEY STATE THAT "THIS PROPOSAL IS CONSISTENT WITH COMMENTS OF MOST FREQUENCY COORDINATORS". THESE "COORDINATORS" ARE THE LAND MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS COUNCIL, MOTOROLA, AT&T, AND THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION. IF YOU READ CAREFULLY, YOU WILL FIND THAT THEY ARE THE ONES THAT WILL BENEFIT FINANCIALLY FROM THIS. MY ONLY STATEMENT TO THIS IS, THAT I WISH I COULD ORGANIZE ALL THE INDUSTRIES THAT WILL BE HURT FROM THIS WONDERFUL PILE OF RED TAPE. THERE WILL BE FAR MORE MONEY SPENT BY PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS THAN WILL BE EARNED BY MOTOROLA, AND AT&T IN UPGRADING EXISTING EQUIPMENT IF THIS PASSES.

#3 IF YOU READ THE QUESTION-ANSWER SECTION IN THE BACK OF THIS REPLY PLEASE NOTICE TWO THINGS. QUESTION #4 ASKS IF THESE CHANGES WOULD LEAD TO PROBLEMS BETWEEN VARIOUS CLASSES OF USERS. THE ANSWER MAY LOOK IGNORANT, HOWEVER, IF THEY CAN NOT CATEGORICALLY STATE POSSIBLE PROBLEMS, WHY ARE WE TRUSTING THESE PEOPLE TO ALLOCATE FREQUENCIES? THE ANSWER SHOULD BE; UNDER THE CURRENT RULES R/C, INDUSTRIAL USE, AND LAND MOBILE USERS HAVE CO-EXISTED IN A 10 KHZ ENVIRONMENT WITH 50 FREQUENCIES ALLOCATED FOR R/C USE IN 72 MHZ. THEY NOW WISH TO CUT US DOWN TO 13 FREQUENCIES AT 10KHZ SPACING AND LEAVE THE REST OPEN TO LAND MOBILE USE. THE PROBLEM WILL OCCUR WHEN THE R/C HOBBIEIST'S REFUSE TO INVEST MORE MONEY TOWARDS UPGRADING OR REPLACING EXISTING EQUIPMENT. THEY WILL CONTINUE FLYING THEIR MODELS, WHICH WILL BE HIT BY INTERFERENCE FROM MOBILE GROUND UNITS, CAUSING THEIR 10 POUND,

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

hitecTM
RADIO CONTROL USA, Inc.

9419 ABRAHAM WAY
SANTEE, CA 92071-2854
Phone: (619) 449-1112
FAX: (619) 449-1002


2

\$800.00 AIR PLANE, TO COME CRASHING TO THE EARTH AT AN AVERAGE OF 80 MPH. THIS COULD TURN INTO A VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM IF THERE IS ANYBODY IN THE IMMEDIATE AREA. THE LACK OF UNDERSTANDING, IN THE AREA OF SAFETY, IS WHAT IS UPSETTING ME MORE THAN ANY THING ELSE.

#4) THE LAST QUESTION THEY ASK REFERS TO CHANGES REQUIRED TO OPERATE UNDER THE PROPOSED RULES. THEY FEEL THAT THERE WILL BE NO CHANGES NECESSARY. THAT ANSWER WAS EITHER MADE IN IGNORANCE OR IT IS AN OUTRIGHT LIE! THE TRUTH TO THIS ANSWER IS THAT ALL EXISTING RECEIVERS WILL HAVE TO BE REPLACED AT A COST TO THE CONSUMER OF APPROXIMATELY \$300.00 TO \$400.00. THEIR EXISTING EQUIPMENT COSTS APPROXIMATELY \$70.00 TO \$150.00 PER RECEIVER.

#5) THE LAST AND FINAL POINT IS THAT THERE ARE STILL UNUSED FREQUENCY'S THAT COULD BE USED FOR THIS PURPOSE. THE SUGGESTION I WOULD MAKE IS TO PUT ALL NEW USERS ON 25.345 THROUGH 27.405 MHZ. THIS RANGE OF FREQUENCY'S WOULD ALLOW PLENTY OF ROOM TO BEGIN ALLOCATING NEW LAND MOBILE CHANNELS.

IF YOU HAVE ANY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT ME AT (619)258-4945 OR (619)588-7530

THANK YOU

DAN ABBE
SALES MGR.